

## Oak Hill Parkway Community Survey Results

Transportation Demand Management (TDM) strategies, such as HOV lanes, telecommuting, and parking management, would meet the goals (purpose and need) of the project.

Answer Options	Strongly Agree		Agree		Neutral		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Rating Average	Response Count
	5	6.25%	13	16.25%	11	13.75%	20	25.00%	31	38.75%	3.74	80
<i>answered question</i>											80	
<i>skipped question</i>											5	
			<b>Strongly Agree or Agree</b>					<b>Strongly Disagree or Disagree</b>				
			22.50%					63.75%				

Transportation System Management (TSM) strategies, such as traffic signal synchronization, changeable message signs and incident management, would meet the goals (purpose and need) of the project.

Answer Options	Strongly Agree		Agree		Neutral		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Rating Average	Response Count
	8	9.88%	14	17.28%	17	20.99%	14	17.28%	28	34.57%	3.49	81
<i>answered question</i>											81	
<i>skipped question</i>											4	
			<b>Strongly Agree or Agree</b>					<b>Strongly Disagree or Disagree</b>				
			27.16%					51.85%				

## Oak Hill Parkway Community Survey Results

The 2007 Alternative (conventional highway with frontage roads and Direct Connectors at the "Y" from 2007) would meet the goals (purpose and need) of the project.												
Answer Options	Strongly Agree		Agree		Neutral		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Rating Average	Response Count
		11	13.58%	18	22.22%	14	17.28%	13	16.05%	25		
<i>answered question</i>												81
<i>skipped question</i>												4
		Strongly Agree or Agree						Strongly Disagree or Disagree				
		35.80%						46.91%				

Concept A (US 290 depressed mainlanes) would meet the goals (purpose and need) of the project.												
Answer Options	Strongly Agree		Agree		Neutral		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Rating Average	Response Count
		24	30.77%	21	26.92%	10	12.82%	14	17.95%	9		
<i>answered question</i>												78
<i>skipped question</i>												7
		Strongly Agree or Agree						Strongly Disagree or Disagree				
		57.69%						29.49%				

## Oak Hill Parkway Community Survey Results

Concept B (US 290 mainlanes north of Williamson Creek without Direct Connectors) would meet the goals (purpose and need) of the project.												
Answer Options	Strongly Agree		Agree		Neutral		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Rating Average	Response Count
		17	21.79%	14	17.95%	18	23.08%	20	25.64%	9		
<i>answered question</i>												78
<i>skipped question</i>												7
		<b>Strongly Agree or Agree</b>						<b>Strongly Disagree or Disagree</b>				
		39.74%						37.18%				

Concept C (US 290 mainlanes north of Williamson Creek with Direct Connectors) would meet the goals (purpose and need) of the project.												
Answer Options	Strongly Agree		Agree		Neutral		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Rating Average	Response Count
		22	27.16%	19	23.46%	17	20.99%	13	16.05%	10		
<i>answered question</i>												81
<i>skipped question</i>												4
		<b>Strongly Agree or Agree</b>						<b>Strongly Disagree or Disagree</b>				
		50.62%						28.39%				

## Oak Hill Parkway Community Survey Results

Concept D (US 290 Express Lanes with frontage roads) would meet the goals (purpose and need) of the project.												
Answer Options	Strongly Agree		Agree		Neutral		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Rating Average	Response Count
	15	19.23%	16	20.51%	14	17.95%	18	23.08%	15	19.23%	3.03	78
<i>answered question</i>												78
<i>skipped question</i>												7
		<b>Strongly Agree or Agree</b>						<b>Strongly Disagree or Disagree</b>				
		39.74%						42.31%				

Concept E-1 (minimum improvements at William Cannon Drive and SH 71) would meet the goals (purpose and need) of the project.												
Answer Options	Strongly Agree		Agree		Neutral		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Rating Average	Response Count
	3	3.70%	5	6.17%	14	17.28%	29	35.80%	30	37.04%	3.96	81
<i>answered question</i>												81
<i>skipped question</i>												4
		<b>Strongly Agree or Agree</b>						<b>Strongly Disagree or Disagree</b>				
		9.87%						72.84%				

## Oak Hill Parkway Community Survey Results

Concept E-2 (minimum improvements at William Cannon Drive) would meet the goals (purpose and need) of the project.												
Answer Options	Strongly Agree		Agree		Neutral		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Rating Average	Response Count
	2	2.50%	5	6.25%	11	13.75%	26	32.50%	36	45.00%	4.11	80
<i>answered question</i>												<b>80</b>
<i>skipped question</i>												<b>5</b>
		<b>Strongly Agree or Agree</b>						<b>Strongly Disagree or Disagree</b>				
		8.75%						77.50%				

Concept F (minimal frontage roads) would meet the goals (purpose and need) of the project.												
Answer Options	Strongly Agree		Agree		Neutral		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Rating Average	Response Count
	16	19.28%	7	8.43%	8	9.64%	12	14.46%	40	48.19%	3.64	83
<i>answered question</i>												<b>83</b>
<i>skipped question</i>												<b>2</b>
		<b>Strongly Agree or Agree</b>						<b>Strongly Disagree or Disagree</b>				
		27.71%						62.65%				

## Oak Hill Parkway Community Survey Results

Option 1 (extend west transition past Circle Drive) would meet the goals (purpose and need) of the project.												
Answer Options	Strongly Agree		Agree		Neutral		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Rating Average	Response Count
		26	30.95%	16	19.05%	20	23.81%	14	16.67%	8		
<i>answered question</i>												<b>84</b>
<i>skipped question</i>												<b>1</b>
		<b>Strongly Agree or Agree</b>						<b>Strongly Disagree or Disagree</b>				
		50.00%						26.19%				

Option 2 (provide a westbound 290 exit ramp to RM 1826 that is braided with an entrance from SH 71) would meet the goals (purpose and need) of the project.												
Answer Options	Strongly Agree		Agree		Neutral		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Rating Average	Response Count
		16	19.75%	17	20.99%	28	34.57%	9	11.11%	11		
<i>answered question</i>												<b>81</b>
<i>skipped question</i>												<b>4</b>
		<b>Strongly Agree or Agree</b>						<b>Strongly Disagree or Disagree</b>				
		40.74%						24.69%				



## Oak Hill Parkway Community Survey Results

If you would like to receive future updates on the Oak Hill Parkway Project, please provide your information below:

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Name	98.2%	54
Mailing Address	92.7%	51
Email Address	92.7%	51
Phone Number	72.7%	40
	<b><i>answered question</i></b>	<b>55</b>
	<b><i>skipped question</i></b>	<b>30</b>

*\* During the October 22, 2013 Open House and concurrent Virtual Open House, the study team provided participants with the option to provide additional input through a community survey. The survey is not a scientific poll. The results only reflect the view of those Open House and Virtual Open House participants that chose to participate.*